

SOUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY (US) LLP

14th Floor

8000 Towers Crescent Drive Tysons Corner, VA 22182-6212 Office: +1.703.720.7800

Fax: +1.703.720.7801

March 16, 2011

PLEASE DELIVER THESE PAGES IMMEDIATELY

Number of Pages (including cover): 5

To: Examiner Satish Rampuria

FAX No.: 571 273 3732

COMPANY: USPTO

PHONE No.: 571 272 3732

FROM: Keith Mullervy

DIRECT DIAL No.: +1.703.720.7843

E-MAIL: keith.mullervy@ssd.com

RE: Applicant Initiated Interview U.S. Application No. 10/089,139

Inventor: BOSWORTH et al. Our Docket No. 104402.00270

Message:

37 OTTICES IN 17 COUNTRIES

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY (US) LLP IS PART OF THE INTERNATIONAL LEGAL PRACTICE SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY, WHICH OPERATES WORLDWIDE THROUGH A NUMBER OF SEPARATE LEGAL ENTITIES. PLEASE VISIT WWW.SSD.COM FOR MORE INFORMATION.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

THE ATTACHED INFORMATION IS LEGALLY PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NUT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, PLEASE BE AWARE THAT ANY DISSEMBNATION, DISTRIBUTION OR DUPLICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRUCTLY PROBERTED. IP YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ADDRESS ABOVE VIA THE POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

Sender No.:

09782

J. Fulmer Return to Office

PTOL-413A (04-09)
Approved for use through 05/31/2009, OME 0551-0931
U.S. Pasent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form						
Application No.: 10/089,139		First Name	First Named Applicant: BOSWORTH et al.			
Examiner: Satish Ram	puria Art Un	it:	Status of .	Application: E	Board Decision	
Tentative Participants: (1) Keith Mullervy (Reg (3) Wei Zhen Proposed Date of Inter	j. No. 62,382)	(2) <u>Satish</u> (4)	Rampuria	3-PM1:00	PM_ AM/PM	
Type of Interview Requested: (1)						
Issues To Be Discussed						
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc) (1) 102 Rej. (2) 103 Rej. (3) 103 Rej.	Claims/ Fig. #s 1-3, 6-7, 20-22, 2 4-5, 8, 23-24, 27 9-13, 28-32	Prior Art Wang Wang, Claussen Wang, Claussen	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
(4) Continuation St	neet Attached					
See Continuation Shee	rgument to be Presente t	d:				
NOTE: This form sho (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will in interview. Therefore, soon as possible. /Keith M. Mullervy/ Applicant/Applicant Keith M. Mullervy Typed/Printed Name 62,382	uld be completed by ap ot be delayed from issu		o the examiner in failure to submit	a written rece erview (37 Cl	ord of this	

This selection of information is required by 31 CFB, 123. The information is required to evident or entire benefit by the poles which is to fact only by 10 CFB, 123. The information is required as a benefit by the poles which is to fact the first benefit by 10 CFB, 120 CFB

03/16/2011 10:05 FAX 2003

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-573) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or petient. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that. (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2). (2) tunnishing of the information solicated is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- 1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (6 U.S. C. 552a), Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement neodulations.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record perfains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 12(b) to rissuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 114, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a outlished application, an application open to public inspection or an issued ortent.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

03/16/2011 10:05 FAX ☑ 004

Informal Agenda for Examiner Interview of S.N. 10/089,139

Items to be Discussed:

102 Rejection:

• Applicants respectfully submit that the proposed claim amendment to independent claim 1 (and similar proposed claim amendment to independent claim 20) overcomes the rejection, as Wang fails to disclose or suggest "invoking, by the execution engine, a second code statement processing unit of a second programming language to process a code statement, when the first code statement processing unit locates a code statement of the second programming language within the first code section, and invokes the execution engine recursively," and "invoking, by the execution engine, the first code statement processing unit of the first programming language to process a code statement, when the second code statement processing unit locates a code statement of the first programming language within the second code section, and Invokes the execution engine recursively."

2) 103 Rejections:

 Applicants respectfully submit that the proposed claim amendment to independent (and similar proposed claim amendment to independent claim 20) overcomes the rejections, as the rejected claims each depend upon either independent claim 1 or independent claim 20, and Claussen and Conner do not cure the deficiencies of Wang. 03/16/2011 10:05 FAX 2005

1. (Currently Amended) A method of computing comprising:

reading, by an execution engine, a data processing representation having code sections with code statements of at least a first and a second programming language;

recognizing, by the execution engine, a first code section with at least code statements of a first programming language;

invoking, by the execution engine, a first code statement processing unit of the first programming language to process the first code section;

invoking, by the execution engine, a second code statement processing unit of a second programming language to process a code statement, when the first code statement processing unit locates a code statement of the second programming language within the first code section, and invokes the execution engine recursively:

recognizing, by the execution engine, a second code section with at least code statements of ethe second programming language;

invoking, by the execution engine, athe second code statement processing unit of the second programming language to process the second code section; and

invoking, by the execution engine, the first code statement processing unit of the first programming language to process a code statement, when the second code statement processing unit locates a code statement of the first programming language within the second code section, and invokes the execution engine recursively.